



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/803,779	03/12/2001 .	Yumi Wakita	MTS-3236US	8894	
75	90 06/27/2003				
Allan Ratner Ratner & Prestia One Westlakes Berwyn, Suite 301			EXAMINER		
			SMITS, TALIVALDIS IVARS		
P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, P	A 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
valicy ronge, r	A 17402-0700		2654		
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Application No.

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Yumi Wakita et al.

Examiner

09/803,779

**Talivaldis Ivars Smits** 

Art Unit 2654



-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover si	heet with t	he correspondence address		
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however,	may a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any rej	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6 ne application to beco	i) MONTHS fro ome ABANDOI	m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<del></del>			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $ ot X $ This act	ion is non-fina	l.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pair$					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	···		is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗀	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	***************************************		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		<del></del> .	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are	e subject t	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers					
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Mar 12, 2001 is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b) 💢	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be he	eld in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:a)□ ap	proved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office ac	ction.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3	5 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 💢	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
•	$\mathbb{R}[X]$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	ed.			
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	ed in Appli	cation No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	-		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachme	ent(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	40 □ L: · · ·		****		
_	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 7 6) Other:						
34		-/ LJ Other.				

Application/Control Number: 09/803,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The Title of the invention is not sufficiently descriptive. A new title is required that is more clearly indicative of the novelty in the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "voice recognition" is misused (e.g. on pages 6, 7, 12) for what nowadays is called --speech recognition-- in the speech signal processing art. While "voice recognition" and "speech recognition" were both once used interchangably to refer to spoken word recognition, nowadays the terms are distinguished. The term "voice recognition" now denotes identification of who is doing the speaking (class 704/246), while "speech recognition" (or "word recognition") denotes identification of what is being said (class 704/251)

Claim numbers, which may change during the examination process, are used in the specification (pages 7-14) rather than being referred to as alternative embodiments.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Drawings

3. The drawings of Figures 1, 4, 6, 8, and 9 are objected to.

Figures 1, 4, 6, and 8 are objected to because they refer to "voice" rather than --speech-recognition.

Application/Control Number: 09/803,779

Art Unit: 2654

Figure 6 is also objected to because its element 21 label should be amended to indicate its difference from Figure 1, by adding to its verbal label a phrase like --with word error detection-, since otherwise it is identical to Figure 1 except that the correspondingly-labeled element there has number 7.

Figure 8 is also objected to because it lacks a -- Prior Art-- label.

Figures 9-1(a) through 9-2(e) are objected to because they fail to indicated that --**Prior Art**-- is being illustrated. Also, why are these Figure numbers thus designated rather than being labeled Figures 9 (a) through 9 (e)?

4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/803,779 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frederick Warwick Michael Stentiford *et al.* (U.S. Patent 5,384,701, issued January 24, 1995). Stentiford *et al.* teach:

- selecting co-occurring combinations key words from sentences sin a corpus, and identifying each key word combination with an expression (phrase, col. 2, lines 35-37 and 40-43 with col. 4, lines 3-6);
- key words and combinations thereof are classed based on predetermined properties and an expression associated thereto (col. 4, lines 56-61); and
- selecting said predetermined key words from an input sentence and comparing with these expressions to obtain one or more sentences (inherent in col. 2, lines 46-47) or, alternatively, sentence classes that coincide or have a high degree of similarity as a result of said comparison (col. 5, lines 19-21 and 31-35), in the latter case the class combinations are searched (col. 5, lines 43-49);
- said input sentence sometimes necessarily being converted into an expression comprising only key words or words equivalent thereto (*cf.* col 1, lines 23-24).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Application/Control Number: 09/803,779

Art Unit: 2654

the invention, because lines 4-7 thereof are translated from the Japanese in a very confusing and

Page 5

ambiguous way, making it hard to determine precisely what is and what is not being claimed

therein.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Frederick Warwick Michael Stentiford et al. (U.S. Patent 5,765,131, issued June 9,

1998), Yasuhara Asano et al. (U.S. Patent 5,848,389, issued December 8, 1998), Hiyan Alshawi

et al. (U.S. Patent 5,956,668, issued September 21, 1999), Kunihiro Seno et al. (U.S. Patent

5,991,771 issued November 23, 1999), Jackson Chang et al. (U.S. Patent 5,995,919, issued

November 30, 1999), Tahako Hanada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,026,407, issued February 15, 2000),

Shogo Shibata et al. (U.S. Patent 6,041,293, filed May 29, 1996), Andrew R. Golding (U.S.

Patent 6,192,332, filed April 6, 1998), Alexander M. Franz et al. (U.S. Patents 6,266,642 and

6,356,865, both filed January 29, 1999), and Hiroshi Hayashi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,321,188, filed

October 16, 1995) teach translation of phrases from one language to another.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

(non-fee Amendments should be directed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee)

or FAXed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/803,779

Art Unit: 2654

(703) 872-9314 (please label *formal* communications "OFFICIAL"; please label *informal* or draft communications, "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Talivaldis Ivars Smits, whose telephone number is (703) 306-3011. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold, can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The facsimile phone number for Technology Center 2600 is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 customer service, whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2654 June 23, 2003